

## PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)  RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT ARMS COMF		Y (CI)	
AIRS ID#: 1270160 DAT	ΓΕ: <u>08/18/2011</u>	ARRIVE: <u>11.3</u>	<u>0 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>12.30 PM</u>	
FACILITY NAME: CEN	NTURY CLEANERS				
FACILITY LOCATION	: 1200 Deltona Blvd Unit	58			
	DELTONA 32725				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED Email: CONTACT NAME: SO Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIO			Mobile:	(386)574-2033 (386)860-9489	
PART I: INSPECTION  IN COMPLIANCE	COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch	· —		Γ Non-COMPLIANCE	
A. 1. Existing small dry-to-dry only transfer only, 2 both types, x < (constructed b  3. Existing large dry-to-dry only transfer only, 2 both types, 144 (constructed b  5. Ineligible for d rop store/out	I area source  y, $x < 140 \text{ gal/yr}$ $x < 200 \text{ gal/yr}$ $x < 140 \text{ gal/yr}$	transfer on both types, (constructe  4. New large dry-to-dry transfer on both types,	only, $x < 140$ ly, $x < 200$ gal x < 140 gal/y d on or after 1 <b>area source</b> only, $140 \le$	l/yr 12/9/91)	
<b>B</b> . The sum of the v cleaning facility v	volume of all perchloroethylene ( was gallons.	(perc) purchases m	ade in each of	the previous 12 months by the	is dry

PART III: GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC			check 🗹	only one question)
1. Is all perc, and wastes containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	☐ No	N/A
2. Are all perc. containers leak free ?		Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
3. Are all machine doors kept closed and secured except during loading/unloading?		Yes	☐ No	
4. Are cartridge filters d rained in their housing or in sealed containers for at least 24 hours prior to disposal?		Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
5. Has each dry cleaning system installed after December 21, 2005 at an area source, routed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream contained within each dry cleaning machine through a refrigerated condenser and passed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream from inside the dry cleaning machine drum through a non-vented carbon adsorber or equivalent control device immediately before the door of the dry cleaning machine is opened? The carbon adsorber must be desorbed in accordance with manufacturer's instructions.		Yes	☐ No	N/A N/A
Is solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds     maintain according to the manufacturer's specifications?	. 🗆	Yes	☐ No	N/A
PART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (Refer to Part II-A.14. Classification: page 1 of 4, this form)  1. If the f acility classification is an existing small area source, no controls are required. If	Procee	ed to P	art V.	
2. If the facility classification is a <u>new small area source</u> , the machine should be equipped condenser. <b>Complete section A. below.</b>	with	a refrig	gerated	
3. If the fa cility classification is an <u>existing large area source</u> , the machine should be equivalent condenser or a carbon adsorber. Complete both sections A and B below. <i>Compust have been installed prior to September 22, 1993</i>				
4. If the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u> , the machine should be equipped condenser. Complete both sections A and B below.	l with	a refriş	gerated	
A. Has the responsible official of all existing large area & new sources:			check 🗹	-
1. Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls?	- 🖂	Yes	☐ No	
2. Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
3. Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
4. Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a refrigerated condenser on a weekly basis?		Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
5. Repaired or adjusted the equipment within 24 hours if the exhaust temperature of the condenser exceeded 45° F?	. 🔲	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
6. Conducted all temperature monitoring after an appropriate cool-down period and after verifying that the coolant had been completely charged?		Yes	☐ No	

PA	ART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)					
В.	For all existing large or new large area sources:  Is the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, reclaimer, and dryer machines measured and recorded on a weekly basis?		Yes	□ No	)	
2.	Is the washer exhaus t temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet measured and recorded weekly?		Yes		_	I/A
	a) Is the temperature differential equal to, or greater than $20^{\circ}$ F?	Ш	Yes	∐ No	)   N	I/A
3.	Is the perc concentration in the exhaust stream inlet and outlet measured weekly at the end of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to the adsorber, if machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber?		Yes	□ No	)	J/A
	a) Is the perc concentration equal to, or less than 100 ppm?		Yes	□ No	)   N	I/A
4.			Yes	□ No	)	I/A
5.	Are transfer machines equipped (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual condenser coils?		Yes		o 🗌 N	I/A
						- 1
6.	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		Yes		)   N	I/A
6.	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		Yes		)	I/A _
6.	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		Yes	□ No	)	I/A
	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		(	check 🗹	only one	e
PA			(	check 🗹	only one	e
<b>P</b> A	ART V: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC		( bo	check 🗹 x for each	only one n question)	e
1. 2.	ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC  Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		( bo	check 🗹 x for eacl	only one n question)	e
1. 2.	ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC  Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		( bo	check 🗹 x for eacl	only one in question)	e
1. 2.	ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC  Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		( bo Yes Yes	check 🗹 x for each	only one n question)  O	e )
1. 2. 3.	ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC  Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		Yes Yes Yes	check 🗹 x for each No	only one h question)	e)
1. 2. 3.	ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC  Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		Yes Yes Yes	check 🗹 x for each No	only one h question)  O	e ) ) // A // //
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC  Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		Yes Yes Yes Yes	check 🗹 x for each No	only one n question)  O	)) //A
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC  Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	check 🗹 x for each No	only one h question)  O	)) //A
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC  Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	check 🗹 x for each No	only one h question)  O	ee)) //////////////////////////////////

PA	ART VI: <u>LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC	(	(check 🗹	only one
1.	1. What type of leak detection equipment is used to detect leaks?			question)
	Halogenated hydrocarbon detector PCE gas analyzer None used			
2.	2. Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer operated according to			
	the manufacturer's instructions (manual was available and RO could demonstrate			
	procedure) ?	Yes	☐ No	
3.	For major sources is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer			
	operated according to EPA Method 21 ?	Yes	☐ No	N/A
4.	Is the vapor leak inspection conducted by placing the probe inlet at the surface of			
	each component interface where leakage could occur and moving it slowly along			
	the interface periphery?	Yes	☐ No	
5.	Is the PCE gas analyzer a flame ionization detector, photo ionization detector, or			
	infrared analyzer capable of detecting vapor concentrations of PCE of 25 parts per			
	million by volume (based on documented specifications) ?	Yes	☐ No	N/A
6.	Is the <u>halogenated hydrocarbon detector</u> capable of detecting vapor concentrations			
	of PCE of 25 parts per million by volume (based on documented specifications) and			
	indicating a concentration of 25 parts per million by volume or greater by emitting			
	an audible or visual signal that varies as the concentration changes? 🖂	Yes	☐ No	N/A
7.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected weekly for perceptible leaks (sight, sm	nell or	touch) whi	le the
	system is in operation (§63.322(k))?			
	(Inspection with a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer also fulfills the requirement for insp	ection	of perceptib	le leaks)
	b) Door gaskets and seating Yes No N/A h) Stills Yes No N/A i) Exhaust dampers Yes No N/A j) Diverter valves Y	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No No No No No	<ul><li>N/A</li><li>N/A</li><li>N/A</li><li>N/A</li><li>N/A</li><li>N/A</li></ul>
8.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected monthly for vapor leaks using a haloge	enated	hydrocarbo	on detector
	or PCE gas analyzer while the system is in operation? (Any inspection conducted according to this parage	raph sh	nall satisfy th	ne
	requirements to conduct an inspection for perceptible leaks under §63.322(k) or (l))			
	b) Door gaskets and seating Yes No N/A h) Stills Yes No N/A i) Exhaust dampers Yes No N/A j) Diverter valves Y	Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No No No No No	<ul><li>N/A</li><li>N/A</li><li>N/A</li><li>N/A</li><li>N/A</li><li>N/A</li></ul>

PART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Rule 62-213.3	300 FAC (continued)
9. What evidence suggests that leak checks are performed as required.  Leak log documentation RO Assurances On-site Explain other:	ed? site observation
Sangeeta Sharma	08/18/2011
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** Ms. Sangeeta Sharma inspected this facility on 08/18/2011. Ms. Sharma talked to Ms. Mariel Candelaria and walked around the facility. Ms. Candelaria bought this facility on August 1<sup>st</sup>, 2011 from Sujata Patel and actually started running the facility a week after around August 11, 2011. Facility was operating without an Air General Permit since last week. Ms. Sharma told them to apply for a new permit. Permit notification form was left at the time of the inspection. They did not have the registration certificate, but she had the registration certificate from her other drycleaner that she closed a week ago. Ms. Sharma asked them to transfer that registration certificate to this drycleaner. No records were seen at the time of the inspection. She stated that the previous owner did no have any records. As of right now I have no records of department receiving her permit application.